DRAFT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED ROUGH STONE QUARRY

CATEGORY - B1

(Public Hearing Upgraded after Terms of Reference (ToR) as per the provisions of EIA Notification 2006 & amendments thereof)

ToR Identification No. TO25B0108TN5831150N (F.No. 12175), dated 03.07.2025

PROPOSED QUARRY LEASE DETAILS			
SURVEY NOS	208 (Part-5)		
VILLAGE	PARAVAKKAL		
TALUK	PERNAMPATTU		
DISTRICT	VELLORE		
EXTENT	2.03.00 ha		
CLUSTER EXTENT	7.29.90 ha		
PROPOSED PRODUCTION	TEN YEARS : 2,40,630 m3 - 31m AGL		
(ROUGH STONE)	FIRST FIVE YEARS : 1,40,670 m3 - 31m AGL		
	SECOND FIVE YEARS: 99,960 m3 - 31m AGL		
LAND	GOVT. PORAMBOKE LAND		

(Sector No. 1(a) Sector No.1 as per NABET)

Category of the Project: B1 Cluster Mining, Total Cluster Area – 7.29.90 Ha

Baseline Monitoring Period – March 2025 to May 2025

APPLICANT

TVL.SRI SAI CONSTRUCTIONS THIRU.GOWTHAMKRISHNA (PROPRIETOR)

NO.30, CHINNARAJAPALAYAM, POGALUR POST, GUDIYATHAM TALUK,

VELLORE DISTRICT, PINCODE-635 813

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT

M/s. GLOBAL MINING SOLUTIONS (NABET Accredited & ISO 9001 Certified Consultant) Plot No. 6, S.F.No. 13/2, A2, VS City, RC Chettypatty, Kottamettupatty, Omalur, Salem, Tamil Nadu – 636 455. NABET Accreditation No: NABET/EIA/23-26/SA 0241, Valid Until - January 4, 2026 Contact: 97502 23535 & 94446 54520 Email: infoglobalmining@gmail.com, globalminingsolutionssalem@gmail.com	M/s. SHRIENT ANALYTICAL & RESEARCH LABS PRIVATE LIMITED (NABL Accredited Testing Laboratory) Valid Until -29.09.2025 #416/15, Dhargas Road, Perungalathur, West Tambaram, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.
--	---





LABORATORY



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 OVER ALL JUSTIFICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT INTRODUCTION

TvI.Sri Sai Constructions, Thiru.Gowthamkrishna (Proprietor) has obtained Precise Area Communication Letter from Assistant Director, Department of Geology and Mining, Vellore, to quarry out 32,40,630 m3 of Rough Stone for the period of 10 years upto a depth of 31m AGL. of Rough Stone from an extent of 2.03.00 Ha located in S.F. Nos. 208 (Part-5) at Paravakkal Village, Pernampattu Taluk, Vellore District, Tamil Nadu State.

As per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments the proposed "Rough Stone Quarry of TvI.Sri Sai Constructions, Thiru.Gowthamkrishna (Proprietor) mines cluster falls under Schedule 1(a) of EIA Notification and its subsequent amendments the project comes under Category B1. The ToR for preparation of EIA/EMP report of the project was approved vide ToR Identification No. TO25B0108TN5831150N (F.No. 12175), dated 03.07.2025. This report has been prepared in line with the approved TOR for production of maximum excavation of 1,40,670 m³ of Rough Stone for first five years and remaining quantity of 99,960 m³ of Rough Stone for the period of 10 years upto a depth of 31m AGL.

S.No.	Description	Status/Remarks
1.	Sector	1(a), non-coal mining
2.	Category of the project	B1
3.	Proposed mineral	Rough Stone
4.	Type of Lease	Fresh Project
5.	Extent of the lease	2.03.00 Ha
6.	Proposed depth of Mining	31m AGL
7.	Method of mining	Opencast Mechanized
8.	Proposed lease period	10 Years
9.	Proposed Environmental Clearance	10 Years
10.	Proposed production quantity for Ten years	TEN YEARS: 2,40,630m ³ - 31m AGL FIRST FIVE YEARS: 1,40,670m ³ - 31m AGL SECOND FIVE YEARS: 99,960m ³ - 31m AGL

The Lessee Tvl.Sri Sai Constructions, Thiru.Gowthamkrishna (Proprietor)is an individual with sound experience in the identification, quarrying and marketing of Rough Stone.

1.2 LOCATION

This project site is located in Paravakkal Village, Pernampattu Taluk, Vellore District, Tamil Nadu State Latitude: 12°54'57.39"N to 12°55'04.87"N, Longitude: 78°47'57.46"E to 78°48'02.16"E. with Survey of India Topo Sheet No.57 L/13. To conduct the study, the proposed mine lease area (core zone) and an impact zone of 10 km radius (called buffer zone) around the proposed mine site were considered. The EIA report is based on three months baseline data (i.e. March 2025 to May 2025)

1.3 GEOLOGY

The rock type noticed in the area for lease is Charnockite which contains mostly Quartz and Feldspar with some ferromagnesian minerals. The Charnockite is part of peninsular Gneisses, a high-grade metamorphic rock. The strike of the Charnockite formation is N70°W –S70°E with dipping towards SE70°.

1.4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This is a proposed Rough Stone quarry by Opencast Mechanized mining method with drilling and blasting. The quarrying is restricted up to a depth of 31AGL. The geological reserves are estimated to be 457490m³ Rough Stone. The mineable reserve calculated by deducting 10m safety distance and bench loss. The mineable reserves are 240630m³ of Rough Stone which will be recovered at the rate of 100% recovery upto a depth of 31m Above ground level for the period of ten years.

• It is proposed to quarry out rough stone with 5m bench height, 5m width with 45° slope using conventional Open cast Mechanized method. The quarry operation involves shallow jack hammer drilling, slurry blasting, excavation, Loading and transportation of Rough Stone.

 There is no overburden anticipated during entire rough stone quarrying operation.

S.No.	Type of Detail	Description			
1	Sector	1(a) Non coal mining			
2	Fresh/Existing project	Fresh Project			
3	Category	B1			
4	Nature of mineral	Minor mineral			
5	Production	TEN YEARS: 2,40,630m ³ - 31m AGL FIRST FIVE YEARS: 1,40,670m ³ - 31m AGL SECOND FIVE YEARS: 99,960m ³ - 31m AGL			
6	Life	10 years			
7	Waste generation and management	There is no overburden anticipated during the quarrying operation. Hence, no waste generation.			
8	Bench height and width	Height and Width – 5m			
9	Ultimate pit depth	31 m (AGL)			
10	End use	Rough Stone will be loaded into tippers to needy			
		buyers for producing aggregates, M-sand.			

1.5 PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

The requirements of the project is given below.7

S.No.	Nature of requirement	Description				
1	Water requirement	Total water requirement of 7.5 KLD which will be				
		procured from the outside agencies. Out of 2.5				
		KLD drinking water requirements, Green belt				
		development is 3.0 KLD and dust suppression is				
		1.5 KLD.				
2	Power requirement	No electricity is needed for mining operations, for				
		office demands, it will be met from the state grid.				
		Total Fuel requirement is 1,92,504 KL for entire				
		life of the project.				
3	Manpower requirement	Permanent employees – 15, temporary				
		employees - 10				
4	Financial requirement	The total project cost as per PFR will be INR				
		277.56 lakhs including Operational cost, Fixed				



		Asset cost and EMP cost			
5	Funds for Socio economic	INR 5.0 Lakhs is allocated. In addition, any			
	development	demand raised by people during public hearing			
		will also be met.			

1.6 <u>DESCRIPTION OF LEASE AREA</u>

The features in the study area is given below.

	Table 11.1 Description of the lease area					
S.No.	o. Areas Distance from proje					
1	Areas protected under international or legislation for their ecololandscape, cultural or other rivalue	local ogical,	Nil w	Nil within 15km radius		
2	Areas which are important or se	ensitive	for ecological re	asons		
А	Wetlands, water courses or other water bodies,	Water bodies Odai Small kuttai Cheruvanki Eri Palar River Stream Kavundinya Nadi Godd Ar Kottaramadugu Small Dam		Distance 440 m 4.81 km 5.23 km 6.7 km 8.33 km 6.34 km 6.9 km 9.62 km	Direction N E E S W NE NE	
В	Coastal zone, biospheres,	Nil within 10km radius				
С	Mountains, forests	Pallalakuppam Extension R.F 1 – 1.47 km (NW), Pallalakuppam Extension R.F 2 – 1.79 km (S) Pallalakuppam R.F. 2 – 6.0 km (SW), Gundalapalli R.E – 4.33 km (NW), Sanankuppam RF – 7.64 km (S)				
3	Areas used by protected, important or sensitive species of flora or fauna for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting, overwintering, migration	Nil wit	hin 15km radius			



4	Inland, coastal, marine or underground waters	Nil within 15km radius
5	State, National boundaries	Nil within 15km radius
6	Routes or facilities used by the public for access to recreation or other tourist, pilgrim areas	Nil within 15km radius
7	Defense installations	Nil within 15km radius
8	Densely populated or built-up area	Pangarishikuppam - 2.2Km-SE
9	Areas occupied by sensitive man-made land uses (hospitals, schools, places of worship, community facilities)	Pangarishikuppam - 2.2Km-SE
10	Areas containing important, high quality or scarce resources (ground water resources, surface resources, forestry, agriculture, fisheries, tourism, minerals)	Nil
11	Areas already subjected to pollution or environmental damage. (those where existing legal environmental standards are exceeded)	Nil
12	Areas susceptible to natural hazard which could cause the project to present environmental problems (earth quakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme or adverse climatic conditions) similar effects	No. The area is not prone to earthquakes, floods, etc.

The baseline data collection for meteorology, air, water, noise and soil environments have been carried out during March 2025 to May 2025.

Air, water, noise and soil samples are collected and analyzed through NABL accredited lab.

1.7 EXPLANATION OF HOW ADVERSE EFFECTS HAVE BEEN MITIGATED 1.7.01 AIR ENVIRONMENT

The air monitoring have been carried out in 6 locations and the results are given below.

Т	Table 11.2: Details Of Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Locations					
S. No.	Station Code	Locations	Distance & Direction	Coordinates		
1	AAQ 1	Project site	Core Zone	12°54'57.39"N 78°47'57.46"E		
2	AAQ 2	Paravakkal	1.13 km, NW	12°55'24.57"N 78°47'22.62"E		
3	AAQ 3	Mel Alangkuppam -West	2.64 km, SW	12°54'44.62"N 78°46'35.64"E		
4	AAQ 4	Chettikuppam	3.75 km, E	12°55'12.99"N 78°50'11.28"E		
5	AAQ 5	Gudiyatham	7.0 Km, NE	12°56'17.75"N 78°51'41.28"E		
6	AAQ6	Pogalur	1.65 Km, NE	12°54'6.90"N 78°47'32.93"E		
7	AAQ7	Morsapalli	2.97 Km, E	12°56'45.52"N 78°47'40.19"E		

All the values of pollutant concentrations were found to be within the NAAQs Standards.

Station ID	Min	Max	Avg.			
Particulate matter PM- _{2.5 (} µg/m³)						
AAQ-1	21.4	31.3	26.35			
AAQ-2	22.9	27	24.95			
AAQ-3	21.1	31	26.05			
AAQ-4	22.8	28.6	25.7			
AAQ-5	21.5	27.5	24.5			
AAQ-6	21.4	26.1	23.75			
AAQ-7	21.1	25.2	23.15			
	CPCB NAAQS 2009 for PM _{2.5} - 60 μg/m ³					
	Particulate matte	r PM- ₁₀ (μg/m³)				
AAQ-1	46.7	67.6	57.15			
AAQ-2	48.6	62.3	55.45			
AAQ-3	42.7	56.5	49.6			
AAQ-4	47.3	61.4	54.35			
AAQ-5	45.1	55.9	50.5			
AAQ-6	47.2	58.2	52.7			



AAQ-7	44.7	57.1	50.9	
-	CPCB NAAQS 2009 fo		33.5	
	Sulphur Di-oxide			
AAQ-1	3.8	5.8	4.8	
AAQ-2	3.6	5.8	4.7	
AAQ-3	4.6	6	5.3	
AAQ-4	4.1	5.8	4.95	
AAQ-5	4.1	5.9	5	
AAQ-6	3.8	6	4.9	
AAQ-7	4.6	6.2	5.4	
	CPCB NAAQS 2009 f	or SO ₂ – 80 μg/m ³		
	Oxide of Nitrogen	as NO ₂ (µg/m³)		
AAQ-1	7.1	12	9.55	
AAQ-2	7.1	10.2	8.65	
AAQ-3	8.5	10.9	9.7	
AAQ-4	7.5	13.3	10.4	
AAQ-5	8.2	12.1	10.15	
AAQ-6	7.7	13.6	10.65	
AAQ-7	7.6	10.9	9.25	
CPCB NAAQS 2009 for NO ₂ - 80 μg/m ³				

1.7.02 WATER ENVIRONMENT

Table 11.3 Results of Ground Water sampling Analysis in 5 locations						
	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	
Odour	AGREEABLE	AGREEABLE	Agreeable	AGREEABLE	AGREEABLE	
Turbidity	<1	<1	<1.0	<1	<1	
pH at 25 °C	7.28	7.39	8.01	7.51	7.69	
Electrical Conductivity	278.1	873.5	1087	1837	530.1	
Total Dissolved Solids	170	530	652	1105	320	
Total hardness as CaCO3	81.0	329	341	496	275	
Calcium as Ca	26.0	87.6	73.2	105	69.6	
Magnesium as Mg	3.84	26.4	37.9	56.2	24.2	
Calcium as CaCO3	65.0	219	183	262	174	
Magnesium as CaCO3	16.0	110	158	234	101	
Total alkalinity as						
CaCO3	72.2	275	249	356	130	
Chloride as Cl-	45.6	174.0	234	324	92.2	
Free Residual chlorine	BDL (D.L -					



as CI-	0.2)	0.2)	0.2)	0.2)	0.2)
Sulphates as SO42-	44.5	62.5	131	276	45.3
Iron as Fe	0.03	BDL(DL-0.01)	BDL(DL-0.01)	0.05	BDL(DL-0.01)
Nitrate as NO3	1.22	2.64	3.73	5.69	2.31
Fluoride as F	0.12	0.21	0.46	0.56	0.25
	BDL (D.L -	BDL (D.L -	BDL (D.L -	BDL (D.L -	BDL (D.L -
Manganese as Mn	0.05)	0.05)	0.05)	0.05)	0.05)
COD	-	-	-	-	-
BOD	-	-	-	-	-
TSS	-	-	-	-	-

All the values were found to be within permissible limits

1.7.03 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

Noise levels were measured in 5 locations and the results are given below.

	Table 11.4 Noise monitoring results							
S. No	Location	Day equivalent	Night equivalent	Day equivalent limits by CPCB	Night equivalent limits by CPCB			
1	Project site	49.1	40.5					
2	Paravakkal	45.5	39.5					
3	Mel Alangkuppam – West	42.5	38.0	75	70			
4	Chettikuppam	44.3	39.3					
5	Gudiyatham	45.4	38.6					

1.7.04 SOIL ENVIRONMENT

Soil samples are collected from 5 locations and the results are given below.

	Table 11.5 Results of Soil Sample Analysis								
S. No	Parameter	Unit	S1	S2	S3	S4	S 5	S6	
1	pH at 25 °C	-	6.91	7.89	8.24	7.78	8.12	8.73	
2	Electrical Conductivity	µmhos/ cm	44.68	286.7	136.2	155.4	122.1	509.8	
3	Dry matter content	%	98.83	98.73	96.83	97.12	95.55	91.15	
4	Water Content	%	1.17	1.27	3.17	2.73	4.34	8.85	
5	Organic Matter	%	0.42	0.54	0.39	0.72	0.47	8.0	
6	Soil texture	-	SILT LOAM	SILT LOAM	LOAM	LOAM	SILT LOAM	SILTY CLAY	



								LOAM
7	Grain Size Distribution i. Sand	. %	30.78	27.15	44.39	40.64	24.02	5.78
8	ii. Silt	%	51.23	61.58	45.47	47.63	58.36	55.84
9	iii. Clay	%	17.99	11.27	10.14	11.73	17.62	38.38
10	Phosphorous as P	mg/kg	1.02	1.52	1.34	2.19	1.22	0.82
11	Sodium as Na	mg/kg	712	682	810	645	836	1002
12	Potassium as K	mg/kg	775	786	914	986	1020	669
13	Nitrogen and Nitregenous Compounds	mg/kg	236	345	265	242	310	340
14	Total Soluble Sulphate	%	BDL(D.L. 0.02)	BDL(D.L. 0.02)	BDL(D.L. 0.02)	BDL(D.L. 0.02)	BDL(D.L. 0.02)	BDL(D.L. 0.02)
15	Porosity	%	42	45	48	42	39	13.2
16	Water Holding Cabacity	Inches/ foot	13.1	14.2	14.5	15.9	13.8	48

1.7.05 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

FLORA

For measuring the extent of flora present in the study area, the area is divided in to 4 quadrants. The flora population in each quadrant is summed up for the total population in the study area. Field survey is done. Erukku, Aavarai and Nayuruvi are found in lease area. In the buffer zone, common trees like Neem, papaya, mango, teak, etc and shrubs like Avarai, Aloe vera, etc, climbers like Kovai,jasmine etc are found.

FAUNA


In the study area, commonly found animals like dogs, cats, bush rat, cows, birds like crow, Myna, Sparrow, etc were found.

1.7.06 **LAND USE**

The land use land cover data is found using the LANDSAT – 9 satellite imagery. The number of bands used are 11. The land use pattern is given below:

Major Land Use Units of the Study Area in Percentage

S.	1st Level	Area in	Percentage	2nd Level	Area in	Percentage
No	Classification	(sq.km)	(%)	(%) Classification		(%)
1	Built-up or	43.23	13.51	Residential	41.96	13.11
	habitation	45.25	15.51	Commercial/Industrial	1.27	0.40
2	Agriculture	151.14	47.23	Crop/fallow land	151.14	47.23
3	Water bodies	6.3 1.97		Reservoir/Lake /Pond	0.45	0.14
		0.5	1.57	River/Stram	5.85	1.83
4	Waste Lanvd	0.46	0.14	Open without scrub	0.44	0.14
		0.40	0.14	Open with scrub	0.02	0.01
5	Mines	0.88	0.28	Mines	0.88	0.28
6	Forest	117.99	36.87	Forest	117.99	36.87
	Total	320	100	Total	320	100



1.7.07 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

The socio economic environment of the study area is studied by conducting primary sites through site visits and conducting sample surveys. The secondary data obtained from Census 2011 is also used.

The following data area collected from secondary data.

- Demographic pattern.
- Health pattern
- Occupational structure.
- Amenities available.

The expert visited 6 villages in the study area namely Paravakkal, Mel Alangkuppam -West, Chettikuppam, Gudiyatham, Pogalur and Morsapalli Villages. Discussions were held with the people from nearby locality to study the social and economic conditions prevailing in the area. The expert also visited nearby hospitals, primary health centres and Nalmukkal. The following observations were made.

Primary schools are available in many villages. For hospital facilities, people in the locality have to go to hospital in Paravakkal which is about 630m (N) from the lease area. Major schools with higher secondary and senior secondary schools are located in Paravakkal. The major Paravakkal Union located in the area is Vellore. Facilities like petrol pump stations, ATM facility are available in Paravakkal.

1.7.08 HYDROGEOLOGY OF THE LEASE AREA

There is Palar River is located at a distance of 6.7 km in Southeast direction of lease area & Kaundinya Nadi is located at a distance of 6.34 km in Northeast direction of lease area.

There are many tanks located in the study area, which are mostly dry throughout the year. These tanks get water only during monsoons. The factors may be monsoon failure, insufficient rainfall, poor rain water management and water consuming patterns.

1.7.09 GROUND WATER STUDY

For Ground water study, satellite imagery is used. Water levels from monitoring levels are collected through imaging. The pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data are collected and the results are analyzed.

During field visit, it is observed that water is available in wells only after monsoon. The yield is obtained at deep levels only.

As far as the mining lease area is considered, the area is rocky and no major seepage is envisaged. The production quantity is very less and the depth proposed is 31 m AGL. Hence, there will not be any major impact due to mining on water levels or ground water levels in the area.

ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Environmental impacts on the following environments are identified.

- Land environment
- Water environment
- Vegetation
- Fauna
- Air environment
- Noise environment
- Socio-economic impacts

1.7.10 LAND ENVIRONMENT: IMPACT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

The major impact due to this project on land environment is the change in land use. Since this quarry is a small one and the production is less, mining activity will be carried out upto 31 m AGL. Other than quarrying of minerals, no other change will be done since there is no dumping. To prevent soil erosion during monsoon season, garland drain will be constructed with silt traps. At the mine closure stage, 1.47.60 Ha of lease area will be left as rain water harvesting pond. 0.53.40 Ha will be developed with green belt. For this, plants like Pongamia pinnata, Syzigium cumini, Albizia lebbeck, Thespesia populnea, Bauhinia racemose, Cassia siamea, Azadirachta indiaca are selected. A total of 534 trees are planned to be planted. Spacing will be 3m x 3m.

1.7.11 WATER ENVIRONMENT: IMPACT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

There is no water body present inside the lease area. The entire water requirement for the project is 7.5 KLD which will be sourced from outside agencies. Negligible sewage will be generated, for which a septic tank with soak pit will be set up.

During monsoon season, the excess rain water, if any, will be led through garland drain of 0.6m width and 0.3 m depth to the collection pond with silt traps.

Since the mining operation will be limited upto depth of 31m (AGL), there will not be any seepage. However, the rain water percolation and collection of water from seepage shall be less than 300lpm and it shall be pumped out periodically by a stand by diesel powered Centrifugal pump motivated with 7.5H.P.Motor. The quality of water is expected to be potable. Hence, water stored in the quarry pit will be pumped into the adjacent agricultural fields. Further the water can also be used for plantation purposes

The major water bodies found in the buffer zone are.

Water bodies	Distance	Direction
Odai	440 m	N
Small kuttai	4.81 km	E
Cheruvanki Eri	5.23 km	E
Palar River	6.7 km	S
Stream	8.33 km	W
Kavundinya Nadi	6.34 km	NE
Godd Ar	6.9 km	NE
Kottaramadugu Small Dam	9.62 km	N

Since these water bodies are located outside the lease area and there is no discharge of effluent or any untreated water from the mines will be made in to these water bodies, there is no major impact. For the canal, adequate safety distance is left. The proponent will restrict the mining operation only within the lease and no other work will be carried out near the canal or any area outside the lease.

It is planned to carryout appropriate rainwater harvesting schemes and artificial recharge schemes in the area.

- > Rain water falling in the quarry will be collected efficiently through garland drains.
- ➤ Water thus collected will be passed through collection tank with silt traps.

This water can be used by the proponent for water sprinkling and for green belt purposes.

> Excess water after desiltation will be provided to downstream users, if any

1.8 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT: IMPACT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impacts

- Fauna is affected due to noise and vibration.
- Dust generation due to mining activities
- Change in land use of the lease area
- · Accidental falling of animals

Mitigation measures

- Sirens will be blown before blasting in the mines. To reduce noise levels, plantation will be done. Blasting will be carried out only in the allotted time.
- To reduce dust generation, mist sprayers will be used. During transportation, the material will be covered with tarpaulin. Water sprinkling will be done to reduce generation of pollutants
- After the mine closure stage, the mine pit will be left as rain water collecting tank, which can attract bird population in the nearby areas.
- To prevent entry of animals, the mining area will be properly fenced.

1.8.1 AIR ENVIRONMENT: IMPACT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

The major air pollutants due to mining operations are fugitive emissions like PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$. Other than these pollutants, gaseous emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) due to excavation/loading equipment and vehicles plying on haul roads are the cause of air pollution in the project area.

The major impacts are Dust emission due to drilling, blasting and transportation. The major mitigation measures include Using Wet drilling methods, Allowing drilling only with PPE, Carrying out blasting only during specified times, Avoiding blasting during unfavourable weather conditions, Using explosives of good quality, Using

mist sprayers Regular wetting of transport, Covering the materials carried in tippers with tarpaulin, Proper maintenance of vehicles used for transportation, Conducting regular emission tests for vehicles used for transport Development of greenbelt is proposed in the safety zone 7.5m barriers in the lease area.

The anticipated data is calculated using AERMOD software and the projected values are found to be within limits.

1.8.2 NOISE ENVIRONMENT: IMPACT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impacts

- Noise generation in mining is due to operation like drilling, blasting and transportation of minerals within and outside the lease area.
- As per DGMS (Directorate General of Mines Safety) and OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) limits, the acceptable noise level is 85 dB(A) for an exposure period of 8 hours.
- Exposure to loud noise can also cause high blood pressure, heart disease, sleep disturbances, and stress. Noise pollution also impacts the health and wellbeing of wildlife.
- Noise exceeding prescribed limits may cause impairment like abnormal loudness perception, tinnitus, which causes a persistent high-pitched ringing in the ears, paracusis or distorted hearing

Mitigation measures

- ♣ As the distance between the source and receptor increases, the noise level also decreases. Hence, there will be a natural attenuation
- ♣ The proposed has planned to develop green belt in the periphery of the lease area, which diminishes sound volume by dampening them.
- ♣ All the equipment/machinery/trucks involved will be properly maintained to control noise generation
- Conducting regular health checkups for employees involved
- ♣ Employees will be made to work on shifts to reduce their exposure time

Providing earplugs to all employees

By adopting these measures, the noise levels will be maintained well within MoEF & CC limits since the baseline value is low.

1.8.3 VIBRATION: IMPACT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impacts

- ♣ Though vibration will be only felt by the people working inside the lease area, it is usually undesired.
- ♣ Vibration may also cause flyrocks
- ♣ It may frighten the birds and small insects in the lease area. However, it
 will be felt only for a short period

Mitigation measures

- Carrying out blasting on limited scale, only from 12:00 PM to 2:00 PM
- ♣ Control of fly rock and vibration by maintaining peak particle velocity with in standard as prescribed by the DGMS and MOEF & CC.
- ♣ Shallow depths jackhammer drilling and blasting is proposed to be carried out with minimum use of explosive
- ♣ Supervising blasting by competent and statutory foreman/ mines manager

1.8.4 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

Impact and Mitigation measures

No land is acquired from anyone. No rehabilitation is needed. Hence, there is no negative impact. The proponent has planned to spend INR 5,00,000 for CER activities. This amount will be subjected to change after public hearing.

1.8.5 OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

Impacts

Dust generation due to drilling and blasting, Noise generation due to drilling and blasting, unexpected accidents. Continuous exposure to dust causes Pneumonia, Tuberculosis, Rhematic arthritis and Segmental Vibration, Short term impact will be

lack of sleep, high blood pressure and heart ailments. Long term exposure may lead to partial or permanent deafness, Risks include fly rocks, cracks or fissures due to improper mining methods

Mitigation measures

- Using dust suppression measures like water spraying on roads to reduce rise of air pollutants
- Providing green belt for air pollutant and noise attenuation
- Ensuring slope stability
- Employing only trained professionals for blasting
- Conducting Pre-Medical Examination for employees before inducting
- Conducting periodical Medical Examination once in 6 months.
- Making all first aid kits available in mines office
- Keeping fire extinguisher in place
- Educating the employees about how to handle unexpected happenings
- Posting information containing emergency contact numbers in mines office
- By adopting all these measures, the safety of the employees working in the quarry will be ensured.

1.8.6 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAMME

Monitoring is done to measure the efficiency of control measures implemented. Regular monitoring of various environmental parameters like air, water, noise and soil environments is needed to assess the status of environment during the project operation. A schedule is framed with timeline to monitor various parameters during the operation of the project. To evaluate the effectiveness of environmental management programme, regular monitoring of the important environmental parameters will be taken up. Air monitoring will be carried out once in 3 months, water sample will be collected once in a season, noise will be monitored once in 3 months, soil samples will be analyzed once per season. For EMP, a budget of INR 33.63 Lakhs is allocated.

1.8.7 PROJECT BENEFITS

Financial benefits

- > This project will contribute financially through payment of taxes like royalty, GST, etc
- > The project will also contribute via CSR.
- > The demands of people during public hearing will also be considered by the project proponent

Social benefits

- > This project provides employment to 25 people directly. Local people will be hired for unskilled labour.
- > Through CSR, nearby schools, hospitals will be benefitted.
- > For CSR, INR 5,00,000 is allocated.
- > Based on the demand of the people during public hearing, further funds will be allocated, if necessary.

Various aspects of mining activities were considered and related impacts were evaluated. Considering all the possible ways to mitigate the environmental concerns Environmental Management Plan was prepared and 33.63 lakhs for the ten years has been allocated as EMP cost. The EMP is dynamic, flexible and subjected to periodic review. For project where the major environmental impacts are associated, EMP will be under regular review. Thus, the proper steps will be taken to accomplish all the goals mentioned in the EMP and the project will bring the positive impact in the study area.